Case 2:22-cr-00176-TLN Document 21 Filed 09/09/22 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney DAVID W. SPENCER Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sagraments, CA 05814	
2		
3		
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900	
5	Facsinine. (910) 334-2900	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
7	Office States of Afficie	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-00176-TLN
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
13	v.	FINDINGS AND ORDER
14	JIMMY PEREZ AYON,	DATE: September 15, 2022 TIME: 9:30 a.m.
15	Defendant.	COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on September 15, 2022.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until	
22	November 17, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between September 15, 2022, and November 17,	
23	2022, under Local Code T4.	
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case	
26	includes approximately 2,137 pages of documents, including investigative reports, text message	
27	transcripts of recorded communications, a	and other materials, as well as multiple undercover
28		

video and audio recordings. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

- Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review this discovery, to conduct b) factual investigation, to evaluate potential responses to the charges, to confer with his client, and to otherwise prepare for trial
- Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested c) continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the e) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of September 15, 2022 to November 17, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: September 8, 2022

28

PHILLIP A. TALBERT **United States Attorney**

/s/ DAVID W. SPENCER DAVID W. SPENCER Assistant United States Attorney

Case 2:22-cr-00176-TLN Document 21 Filed 09/09/22 Page 3 of 3

1	Dated: September 8, 2022 /s/ MICHAEL D. LONG MICHAEL D. LONG
2	Counsel for Defendant
3	JIMMY PEREZ AYON
4	
5	
6	ORDER
7	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 9 th day of September, 2022.
8	
9	Van Lug
10	Trans I Nacional
11	Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28